

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trainark Office Address: COMMISSIONE OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

MU

	APPLICATION NUMBER :				
	09/127,05	9 07/31/98	LEGNARDI	A	648/1D340-US
ili M	09/12/,05	, 07701750			EXAMINER
			HM22/0322	0	
	ROBERT C	SULLIVAN		BERRUMA	RDT E PAPER NUMBER
	DARBY & D	ARBY .			9
	805 THIRD NEW YORK			1624 DATE MAILED:	
		•	•	DATE MALLED.	03/22/00
	This is a communication fr	om the examiner in char	ge of your application.		,
64 [4] -	COMMISSIONER OF PAT	ENTS AND TRADEMAR	KS	•	. **
			OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY		
			/ /		
	Responsive to commun	nication(s) filed on	2/2/00		
	This action is FINAL.				
YI. H		to an allege for allege	ance except for formal matters, prosecu	tion as to the marits	is closed in
	accordance with the pri	actice under <i>Ex parte</i>	Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		The second second
då Cor	A chartaned statutony perio	d for response to this	action is set to expire : 3	month(s), or	thirty days,
	whichover is longer from th	e mailing date of this c	communication: Failure to respond within	n the period for respon	se will cause
( ) ( )	the application to become a	bandoned. (35 U.S.C	. § 133). Extensions of time may be obta	ained under the provis	ions of 37 CFR
	1.136(a).	*		•	
	Disposition of Claims				
	Claim(s) / - 2) Of the above, claim(s)	/		is/are pen	ding in the application.
Š.	Of the above, claim(s)	6-1	/ <del>P</del>	is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.
			<u> </u>		
Si yara da da	Claim(s)				is/are allowed.
	Claim(s)	5,21			is/are rejected.
<b>S</b>	Claim(s)				is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
<b>5</b>	Claim(s)	5,21			is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers	5, 21 19 and 20	are		is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice	5 , 2 / / 9	are stent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	e subject to restriction	_is/are rejected. is/are objected to or election requirement
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notic The drawing(s) filed on	9 Qnd 20	are stent Drawing Review, PTO-948. Is/are object		_is/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing	e of Draftsperson's Pa	are stent Drawing Review, PTO-948. Is/are object	e subject to restriction	_is/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notic The drawing(s) filed on	e of Draftsperson's Pa correction, filed on lected to by the Exami	are stent Drawing Review, PTO-948. Is/are object ner.	e subject to restriction	_is/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj	e of Draftsperson's Pa correction, filed on jected to by the Examin is objected to by the	are stent Drawing Review, PTO-948. Is/are object ner.	e subject to restriction	_is/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaratior	e of Draftsperson's Pa correction, filed on _ lected to by the Exami is objected to by the	are stent Drawing Review, PTO-948. Is/are object ner. Examiner.	e subject to restriction  ted to by the Examiner  isis approve	_is/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaratior	e of Draftsperson's Pa correction, filed on _ lected to by the Exami is objected to by the	are stent Drawing Review, PTO-948. Is/are object ner.	e subject to restriction  ted to by the Examiner  isis approve	_is/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaratior Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	e of Draftsperson's Palected to by the Examin is objected to by the 119	are stent Drawing Review, PTO-948. Is/are object ner. Examiner.	e subject to restriction  ted to by the Examiner  is  approve	_is/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement
	Claim(s) Claim(s)	e of Draftsperson's Palected to by the Examin is objected to by the 119	are atent Drawing Review, PTO-948. is/are object ner. Examiner. gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	e subject to restriction  ted to by the Examiner  is  approve	_is/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaratior Priority under 35 U.S.C. § Acknowledgment is ma	e of Draftsperson's Palected to by the Examin is objected to by the 119	are atent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  Is/are object ner. Examiner.  gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). TIFIED copies of the priority documents	e subject to restriction  ted to by the Examiner  is  approve	_is/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaratior Priority under 35 U.S.C. § Acknowledgment is ma	e of Draftsperson's Parcorrection, filed on	are atent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  Is/are object ner. Examiner.  gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). TIFIED copies of the priority documents	e subject to restriction ted to by the Examiner is approve	_is/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaration  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §  Acknowledgment is ma All Some*  received received in Application received in this na	e of Draftsperson's Paraceted to by the Examin is objected to by the Ingredient of a claim for foreign and the CER atton No. (Series Code titional stage application	are stent Drawing Review, PTO-948. Is/are object ner. Examiner. gn priority-under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). TIFIED copies of the priority documents in	e subject to restriction ted to by the Examiner is approve	_is/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaratior Priority under 35 U.S.C. § Acknowledgment is ma All Some* received received in Applica received in this na *Certifled copies not received	e of Draftsperson's Pactorrection, filed onected to by the Examin is objected to by theected to a claim for foreing theected to a claim for foreing theected toected toected	are  atent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  Is/are object  ner.  Examiner.  gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  TIFIED copies of the priority documents in the international Bureau (PCT Ru	ted to by the Examiner is approve	_is/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaratior Priority under 35 U.S.C. § Acknowledgment is ma All Some* received received in Applica received in this na *Certifled copies not received	e of Draftsperson's Pactorrection, filed onected to by the Examin is objected to by theected to a claim for foreing theected to a claim for foreing theected toected toected	are stent Drawing Review, PTO-948. Is/are object ner. Examiner. gn priority-under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). TIFIED copies of the priority documents in	ted to by the Examiner is approve	_is/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaratior Priority under 35 U.S.C. § Acknowledgment is ma All Some* received received in Applica received in this na *Certifled copies not received	e of Draftsperson's Pactorrection, filed onected to by the Examin is objected to by theected to a claim for foreing theected to a claim for foreing theected toected toected	are  atent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  Is/are object  ner.  Examiner.  gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  TIFIED copies of the priority documents in the international Bureau (PCT Ru	ted to by the Examiner is approve	_is/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaration Priority under 35 U.S.C. § Acknowledgment is material and the processed in Application received in Application received in this nation of the processed o	e of Draftsperson's Pale correction, filed on elected to by the Examinate of a claim for foreign and of a claim for foreign ation No. (Series Code ational stage applications) and of a claim for dominate of a claim for domi	are  atent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  Is/are object  ner.  Examiner.  gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  TIFIED copies of the priority documents in the international Bureau (PCT Ru	ted to by the Examiner is approve	_is/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement
DES AVAILABLE	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaration Priority under 35 U.S.C. § Acknowledgment is ma All Some* received in Application received in Application received in this natice of the color of the co	e of Draftsperson's Paracteristics of the Examinal is objected to by the Examinal is objected to by the Examination No. (Series Code attional stage application elved:	atent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  is/are object is/are objec	ted to by the Examiner is approve	_is/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement.
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaration  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § Acknowledgment is ma All Some* received in Application received in Application received in this na *Certified copies not received in Acknowledgment is ma Attachment(e)  Notice of Reference C Information Disclosure	e of Draftsperson's Particle of Draftsperson's P	atent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  is/are object is/are objec	ted to by the Examiner is approve	_is/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement.
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaration  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §  Acknowledgment is ma All Some* received in Application received in Application received in this na *Certified copies not received in Attachment(e)  Notice of Reference C Information Disclosure interview Summary, P	e of Draftsperson's Particle of Draftsperson's P	are atent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  Is/are object ner. Examiner.  gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  TIFIED copies of the priority documents is s/Serial Number) In from the International Bureau (PCT Ruestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	ted to by the Examiner is approve	_is/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement.
	Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaration  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § Acknowledgment is ma All Some* received in Application received in Application received in this na *Certified copies not received in Acknowledgment is ma Attachment(e)  Notice of Reference C Information Disclosure	e of Draftsperson's Paragraphic Correction, filed on elected to by the Examinals objected to be considered. Series Code attional stage application eleved:  and of a claim for dominated, PTO-892  Statement(s), PTO-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	are  atent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  is/are object iner. Examiner.  gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). TIFIED copies of the priority documents in the international Bureau (PCT Rustic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	ted to by the Examiner is approve	_is/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement.

Art Unit: 1624

In view of applicants' response filed 11/22/99 the following applies.

Applicants' election of group I subject matter with traverse in paper no. 8 is acknowledged but is not persuasive for the following reasons. The compounds embraced in II are not even the same compounds as in I and thus this difference alone would be sufficient to require restriction between compounds vs uses for other compounds. Even if the use claims embraced the same compounds where more than one use exists restriction is proper in accord with MPEP 806.05(h). The art applied previously and below for group I is not directed to the subject matter of II. Thus the restriction is deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

This application contains claims 6-18 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 8. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claims 1,2,4,5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

1. Scope of "acyl", "amido" in the amended claims is unknown. Acyl broadly defined includes carbonyl as well as inorganic moieties (sulfonyl, phosphonyl, selenoyl, etc.) but specification provides no guidance as to what type of groups are considered suitable. For amido even if carboxamido was the only type contemplated the nature of groups on the nitrogen is never set forth.

Art Unit: 1624

2. The same remarks made in # 1 also applies to the phraseology defining "fused heteroatom containing ring systems". The only example given in the specification of such a hetero ring fused to the benzene ring at B is benzodioxanyl. Note In re Wiggins 179 USPQ 421 regarding such terminology.

3. Recitation of halogen as a choice of substituent on the Ar rings appears to be superfluous since they are excluded by proviso (1).

A typographical error is noted in the Y definition. See "CH-OH". The "H" should be deleted.

Claims 1,2,4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Reason #1 of the previous action remains for the scope of "acyl", "amido" and hetero containing ring systems present in the claims which reads on an assortment of chemical moieties including rings having any and all types of hetero atoms for which there is no sufficient enabling disclosure.

Claims 1,2,4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Descriptive support for the fused 5- or 6-membered rings permitted at B is not seen in the original disclosure. Contrary to what applicants urge specification on p. 5

Art Unit: 1624

does not describe this new genus as only benzodioxanyl is described and eg. 16 is to an indolyl. These two examples do not support the new genus which permits all degrees of unsatuartion as well as any hetero atom as ring member. Also see original proviso (1).

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1,2,4,5 and 21 (2nd species) remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ward for reasons of record.

Applicants urge new proviso (3) and (4) excludes subject matter taught in Ward but proviso (3) only excludes bispyridyl and proviso (4) only excludes Ar' as pyridyl. Note that Ar can also be pyridyl and thus monopyridyl at Ar/Ar' is still embraced in the claims.

Claims 1,2,4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Plilai.

While previous anticipations no longer apply, Plilai teaches a compound within the instant scope for various pharmacological activites. See compound XII in Table 1.

Claims 3,19 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1624

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Emily Bernhardt at telephone number (703) 308-4714.

A facsimile center has been established for Group 1600. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier numbers for accessing the facsimile machine are (703) 308-4556 or (703) 305-3592.

EMILY BERNHARDI FRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1<del>299</del> / G*O*T